

"ANOMALOUS SNOWFALL IN SOUTH AND CHITRAL PERU DURING JANUARY 2015"

Ricardo Duran Mamani

INTRODUCTION

I am a Peruvian Weather Forecaster and I work at the National Weather Service (SENAMHI) the training was done in the South American Desk.

My interests are on

- Climatology of synoptic patterns.
- \succ Rainfall forecasting.
- \succ Influence of the subtropical high/ridge on the rainy season.
- ➤ Low level jets.

Once home, the desk experience will allow me to improve ➤ the identification of mesoscale systems.

 \succ the quality of the short and medium range forecasts.

OBJETIVES

Understanding the conditions that generated the out-ofseason snowfall in the Central and Southern mountains of Peru during January 1-12, 2015 to:

→find predictors
→improve forecasts
→mitigate risks





Location







ANOMALY SST

Warm anomalies over the South Atlantic, cold over the South Pacific.

Animation of daily IR and 200-hPa velocity potential anomalies

MJO

The convergent phase of MJO was present over South America, so enhanced divergence associated with it <u>was not a factor</u> that supported snowfall



SSTs and Upper Circulations/heights

ANOMALY SST JAN 09, 2015

20N 6.5 15N 6 5.510N 5 4.5 5N 3.5 3 2.5 1.5 0.515S 205 -1.5 259 -2 -2.5 305 -3 355 40S · -5 -5 45S -6 505 | 100W -6.5 9ÓW 60W 50W 30W -7 200mb GEOPOTENTIAL HEIGHTS (dam) 10-DAY ANOMALY FOR: Thu JAN 01 2015 - Sat JAN 10 2015 NCEP OPERATIONAL DATASET

GEOPOTENTIAL ANOMALY 200 HPA

SST anomalies regulate what happens at upper levels.

Upper Flow



Climatology of wind flows as well as the location of the subtropical high differ significantly during the period

POTENTIAL PREDICTORS

The following variables were useful to capture the potential for snowfall when using GFS model data:

- Temperature at 500-550hpa.
- Relative humidity at 500-550hpa.
- Omegas at 400-450 hPa.
- Moisture flux divergence at 500-500 hPa.

Dradictor values during each avening

□ These levels/variables capture the variability over the high Andes of Southern and Central Peru (4500-5000msnm or 14000-17000 ft).

	redictor values during each evening where									Juneu	
	dia 1-2	dia 2-3	dia 3-4	dia 4-5	dia 5-6	dia 6-7	dia 7-8	dia 8-9	dia 9-10	dia 10-11	dia 11-12
RH %	69 to 74	65 to 80	75 to 80	72 to 87	75 to 87	78 to 81	78 to 84	78 to 81	81 to 84	69 to 84	-69 to 75
500-550 Temp C	-1.8 to -2.2	-2.6 to -3.0	-3.5	-2.8 to -3.3	-2.8 to -3.3	-2.6 to -3	-1.2 to -1.8	-1.2 to 1.8	-1.6 to 2.0	-2.6 to -3.4	-2.0 to -2.6
vvel bar/s	-2 to -8	-2 to -12	-4 to -10	-2 to -4	-2 to -12	-3 to -7	-2 to -5	-2 to -10	-2 to -24	-7 to -10	-3 to -6
div(mass)	-3 to -15	-12 to -15	-12 to -14	-6 to -15	-8 to -24	-6 to -15	-3 to -24	-12 to -21	-12 to -27	-6 to -15	-6 to 15

□ The periods with largest/most significant snow cover were right after the Jan 4-5 & 5-6 nights. We will analyze these two cases with some detail.

Example of morning snow cover on Jan 6

Snowline significantly lower than normal

Snowfall in January (summer) is uncommon



Jan 4-5: 0 Isotherm sinks, snow at lower elevations

лīf

 $\pi\pi$

'nτ

A

नेतार

तीरि

ΠΠ

ידודו

Se acentúa mas la nieve incrementando el area por la nuvosidad alta no se observa con precicion en algunos lugares, asi como el descenzo de la temperatura es mas para este dia.

IDŜ

205

 $RH_{400-450} = 72-87\%$ $T_{500-550} = -2.8 \text{ to } -3.3C$ $OMEG_{400-450} = -2 \text{ to } -4 \text{ microbar/s}$

Div(mass) = -6 to -15

11

G 1 5 JAN 15005 121500 Peak snowfall: fell in relatively low elevations

Jan 5-6: Cools more. Cloud cover limits snowfall analysis.

Areas similares al dia anterior por la nuvosidad no podemos observar hacia la zona central .

 $\Pi \overline{\Gamma}$

ΠT

nπ

177

₿Q,ŀ^

Peak day, freezing line sinks $RH_{400-450} = 75-87\%$ $T_{500-550} = -2.8 \text{ to } -3.3C$ $OMEG_{400-450} = -2 \text{ to } -12 \text{ microbar/s}$ Div(mass) = -8 to -24

Moderate snow in high elevation, snowline receeding

3

SNOWIEST DAYS



SNOW FREE DAY



- Temperature was the dominant factor
- Usually mid-level temps do not change much in January, and they are often warmer than -2C

CONCLUSIONS

Four predictors were identified in order of importance

- (1) Temperature_{500-550hPa layer}
- (2) Moisture flux divergence_{500-500 hPa} \leq -6x10⁸ m⁻².s⁻²
- (3) Relative humidity_{500-550hPa layer}
- (4) Omegas at 400-450 hPa.

 \leq -2.8 C [27F] \leq -6x10⁸m⁻².s⁻² \geq 75%, gradient helps \leq -2 bar.s⁻¹

Upper synoptic features:

> Upper trough over central Peru / Subtropical high to the south east.

Mid-level features:

- Enhanced confluence and moisture flux convergence along and/or to the southwest of the western cordillera.
- RH gradient along western cordillera (often, not always).
- Adiabatic ascent and cooling within dry tier of RH gradient helps, not compulsory (SW winds preferable)
- Moist air mass to the east off the western cordillera.